

Theorem (Proved)

Let $P(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha D^\alpha$ be a linear partial differential operator with constant coefficients on \mathbb{R}^n . If $P(D) : H^{m,2} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is Fredholm with zero index, then

$$0 \notin \overline{\{P(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}},$$

i.e., there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|P(\xi)| \geq C, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

What about the converse?

Theorem Let $P(D)$ be elliptic and such that

$$|P(\xi)| \geq C, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then $P(D) : H^{m,2} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is Fredholm with zero index.

Proof: We only need to prove that the range $R(P(D))$ of $P(D) : H^{m,2} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is closed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $P(D)$ is elliptic, we can find positive constants C' and R such that

$$|P(\xi)| \geq C'(1+|\xi|)^m, \quad |\xi| > R.$$

$$\text{For } |\xi| \leq R, \quad \frac{|P(\xi)|}{(1+|\xi|)^m} \geq C''$$

$$\text{for some } C'' > 0. \quad |P(\xi)| \geq C''(1+|\xi|)^m, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $\tau = \frac{1}{P}$. Then

$$(\partial^\alpha \tau)(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha^{(k)} = \alpha} C_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}} \frac{(\partial P)(\xi) \dots (\partial P)(\xi)}{P(\xi)^{k+1}}$$

$$\circ \circ \quad \left| (\partial^\alpha \tau)(\xi) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}} (1 + |\xi|)^{k+1}}{(C''')^{k+1} (1 + |\xi|)^{m(k+1)}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha^{(k)} = \alpha} |C_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}}| \frac{(C''')^{k+1} (1 + |\xi|)^{m(k+1)}}{(C''')^{k+1} (1 + |\xi|)^{m(k+1)}}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha^{(k)} = \alpha} |C_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}}| \frac{C_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}} (1 + |\xi|)^{-m-|\alpha|}}{(C''')^{k+1}}$$

$$\leq C_1 \|\varphi\|_{m,2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$$

$\tau \in \mathcal{S}$. So, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$,
 $\|\tau \varphi\|_{m,2} \leq C_1 \|\varphi\|_{m,2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$.

But $\|\tau P(D)\varphi\|_{m,2} \leq C_1 \|P(D)\varphi\|_{m,2}$

$\circ \circ \quad \|\varphi\|_{m,2} \leq C_1 \|P(D)\varphi\|_{m,2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$.

So the range of $P(D): H^{m,2} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is closed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem: Let $P(D) = \sum a_\alpha D^\alpha$ be a linear 26.3

partial differential operator with constant coefficients on \mathbb{R}^n . If

$P(D): H^{s,2} \rightarrow H^{s-m,2}$ is Fredholm with zero index,

then $0 \notin \{P(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. The converse is also true

if $P \in S^m$ is elliptic in addition to $0 \notin \{P(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$.

Proof: Suppose that $P(D): H^{s,2} \rightarrow H^{s-m,2}$ is Fredholm

with zero index. Then $J_{m-s} P(D) J_{s-m}: H^{m,2} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

is Fredholm with zero index. $\therefore P(D): H^{m,2} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

is Fredholm with zero index. $\therefore 0 \notin \{P(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$.

Now, suppose that $0 \notin \{P(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ and P is

an elliptic polynomial. Then $P(D): H^{m,2} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

is Fredholm with zero index. \therefore the result follows.

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~