

Recall

Theorem: Let $\sigma \in S^{2m}$, $m > 0$, be an elliptic symbol such that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\bar{T}_\sigma \varphi, \varphi) \geq C \|\varphi\|_{m,2}^2, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then for all f in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the pseudo-differential equation $T_\sigma u = f$ on \mathbb{R}^n has a strong solution u in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark: A better theorem should be one with conditions imposed on σ , not on \bar{T}_σ .

Theorem: Let $\sigma \in S^{2m}$, $m \geq \frac{1}{2}$, be a strongly elliptic symbol. Then there exists a real number λ_0 such that for all f in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, the pseudo-differential equation $(T_\sigma + \lambda I)u = f$ on \mathbb{R}^n , where I is the identity operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, has a unique strong solution in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof: By Garding's inequality, we can find a positive constant A and a real constant C_s for all $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\bar{T}_\sigma \varphi, \varphi) \geq A \|\varphi\|_{m,2}^2 - C_s \|\varphi\|_{m-s,2}^2, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

So there exists a real constant λ_0 such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\bar{T}_\sigma \varphi, \varphi) \geq A \|\varphi\|_{m,2}^2 - \lambda_0 \|\varphi\|_{0,2}^2, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$,

$$\operatorname{Re}((\bar{T}_\sigma + \lambda I)\varphi, \varphi) \geq A \|\varphi\|_{m,2}^2 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) \|\varphi\|_{0,2}^2$$

$$\geq A \|\varphi\|_{m,2}^2, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

So $(T_\sigma + \lambda I)u = f$ on \mathbb{R}^n has a unique strong solution u in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem: Let $\sigma \in S^m$, $m > 0$, be an elliptic symbol 7.2 such that σ is independent of x in \mathbb{R}^n and

$\sigma(\xi) \neq 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 Then for every function f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, the pseudo-differential equation $T_\sigma u = f$ on \mathbb{R}^n has a unique strong solution u in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof: By Exercise 18.3, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\| \varphi \|_p \leq C \| T_\sigma^* \varphi \|_p, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Let $\tau = \frac{1}{\sigma}$. Then for all multi-indices α ,

$$\partial^\alpha \tau = \sum_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}} C_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}} \frac{(\partial^{\alpha^{(1)}} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\alpha^{(k)}} \sigma)}{\sigma^{k+1}},$$

where $C_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}}$ is a constant depending on $\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}$ and the sum is taken over all multi-indices $\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}$ partitioning α . So we can find positive constants

$C^{\alpha^{(1)}}, \dots, C^{\alpha^{(k)}}$ such that

$$|(\partial^\alpha \tau)(\xi)| \leq \sum |C_{\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}}| \frac{C^{\alpha^{(1)}} \dots C^{\alpha^{(k)}} (1+|\xi|)^{km-|\alpha|}}{|\sigma(\xi)|^{k+1}},$$

Since σ is elliptic, there exist positive constants $\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

and R with

$$|\sigma(\xi)| \geq C(1+|\xi|)^m, \quad |\xi| \geq R.$$

Now,

$\frac{|\sigma|}{(1+|\xi|)^m}$ is a continuous and positive function

on $\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R \}$. So, there exists a positive

constant δ such that

$$|\sigma(\xi)| \geq \delta (1 + |\xi|)^m, \quad |\xi| \in \mathbb{R}.$$

\therefore there exists a positive constant C' such that

$$|\sigma(\xi)| \geq C' (1 + |\xi|)^m, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

\therefore there exists a positive constant C'' such that

$$|(\partial^\alpha \tau)(\xi)| \leq C'' (1 + |\xi|)^{-m - |\alpha|}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$\therefore \tau \in S^{-m}$. By the L^p -boundedness theorem,

$$\|\varphi\|_p = \|\tau_\xi^{-1} \tau_\xi \varphi\|_p \leq \|\tau_\xi^{-1} \varphi\|_p \leq \|\tau_\xi \varphi\|_{p'}.$$

For uniqueness, let u and v be strong solutions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $w = u - v$. Then $w \in H^{m,p}$ and $\tau_\xi w = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

in \mathcal{D} . So, for all $\hat{\sigma} \hat{w} = 0$

$$(\hat{\sigma} \hat{w})(\varphi) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\hat{w}(\hat{\sigma} \varphi) = 0.$$

But for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a function φ in \mathcal{D} such that $\psi = \hat{\sigma} \varphi$. (18.5). So,

$$\hat{w}(\psi) = 0, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

$\therefore \hat{w} = 0$. $\therefore w = 0$. $\therefore u = v$.