

Lecture 10

10.1

Let X be a complex Banach space. Let $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ be a closed linear operator.
 $\mathcal{D}(A) \cap$ dense subspace

Question: When is A the infinitesimal generator of a one-parameter semigroup $\{T(t): t \geq 0\}$ on X ?

We need some spectral analysis:

Let $\rho(A)$ be the set defined by $\rho(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - A: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X \text{ is bijective}\}$.

We call $\rho(A)$ the resolvent set of A . If $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, then the bounded linear operator $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}: X \rightarrow X$ is known as the resolvent of A at λ and denoted by $R(\lambda; A)$.

Theorem (Hille-Yosida-Phillips Theorem) Let $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ be a closed linear operator. Then $\mathcal{D}(A) \cap$ dense subspace

X
 A is the infinitesimal generator of a one-parameter semigroup $\{T(t): t \geq 0\}$ on $X \iff$ we can find a positive number M and a real number ω such that

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda > \omega\} \subseteq \rho(A)$$

and

$$\|R(\lambda, A)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - \omega)^n}$$

for all $\lambda > \omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof (Sufficiency) For $\lambda > \omega$, we define $B_\lambda: X \rightarrow X$ □ 10.2

by

$$B_\lambda = -\lambda(I - R(\lambda; A)).$$

Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{tB_\lambda} = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{n!} R(\lambda; A)^n.$$

so

$$\|e^{tB_\lambda}\| = e^{-\lambda t} M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 t)^n}{n! (\lambda - \omega)^n}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda t} M e^{\frac{\lambda^2 t}{\lambda - \omega}}$$

$$= M e^{-\lambda t (1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \omega})} = M e^{-\lambda t \frac{-\omega}{\lambda - \omega}}$$

$$= M e^{\frac{\lambda \omega t}{\lambda - \omega}} \rightarrow M e^{t\omega} \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, for all $\omega, t > 0$,

$$\|e^{tB_\lambda}\| < M e^{t\omega},$$

whenever λ is large enough.

Now, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} B_\lambda x = Ax$, $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Indeed, for all x in $\mathcal{D}(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda R(\lambda; A)x - x \\ &= \lambda R(\lambda; A)x - R(\lambda; A)Ax + R(\lambda; A)Ax - x \\ &= (\lambda I - A)R(\lambda; A)x + R(\lambda; A)Ax - x \end{aligned}$$

$$= x + R(\lambda; A)Ax - x = R(\lambda; A)Ax$$

$$\text{so } \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)x - x\| = \|R(\lambda; A)Ax\|$$

$$\leq \frac{M}{\lambda - \omega} \|Ax\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

But

10.3

$$\|\lambda R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{M\lambda}{\lambda - \omega} \rightarrow M \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

∴ $\|\lambda R(\lambda; A)\| \leq 2M$ for sufficiently large λ .

Let $x \in X$. Then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an element z in $\mathcal{D}(A)$ with

$$\|x - z\| < \min\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right).$$

$$\circ \quad \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)x - x\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)x - \lambda R(\lambda; A)z + \lambda R(\lambda; A)z - z + z - x\| \\ &\leq 2M\|x - z\| + \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)z - z\| + \|z - x\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon \text{ for sufficiently large } \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

So, for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\lambda x &= -\lambda x + \lambda^2 R(\lambda; A)x \\ &= -\lambda x + \lambda R(\lambda; A)\lambda x - \lambda R(\lambda; A)Ax + \lambda R(\lambda; A)Ax \\ &\rightarrow \lambda R(\lambda; A)Ax - \lambda R(\lambda; A)Ax + Ax \\ &= Ax \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.