

Lecture 1

1.1

Recall: Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then S^m is the set of all functions σ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all multi-indices α and β , there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m - |\beta|}, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We call σ a symbol of order m .

Let $\sigma \in S^m$. Then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, we define a new function $T_\sigma \varphi$ on \mathcal{S} by

$$(T_\sigma \varphi)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We call T_σ the pseudo-differential operator with symbol σ .

Definition: Let $\sigma \in S^m$. Suppose that there exist positive constants C and R such that

$$|\sigma(x, \xi)| \geq C(1 + |\xi|)^m, \quad |\xi| \geq R.$$

Then we say that σ is elliptic or T_σ is elliptic

Another Type of Ellipticity

Definition: Let $\sigma \in S^{2m}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that there exist positive constants C and R such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \sigma(x, \xi) \geq C(1 + |\xi|)^m, \quad |\xi| \geq R.$$

Then we say that σ is strongly elliptic or T_σ is strongly elliptic

Remarks: 1. If σ is strongly elliptic, then σ is elliptic.

The converse is not true. Why? (Exercise)

2. Why strongly elliptic?

A similar argument holds when

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} \partial_\xi^\beta, \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Theorem (The Gårding Inequality) Let $\zeta \in S^{2m}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, ζ be strongly elliptic. Then we can find a positive constant C' and a real constant C_s for all $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\bar{T}_s \zeta, \varphi) \geq C' \|\varphi\|_{m,2}^2 - C_s \|\varphi\|_{m-s,2}^2, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For a proof, we need some preparation. First, we identify \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 via

$$\mathbb{C} \ni z = x + iy \iff (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Lemma: Let $F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C})$. Then for all $\zeta \in S^0$, $F \circ \zeta \in S^0$.

Proof: We need to prove that for all multi-indices α and β , there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha\beta}$ such that

$$(*) \quad |(D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta (F \circ \zeta))(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} (1+|\xi|)^{-|\beta|}, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(*) is true for all α and β with $|\alpha + \beta| = 0$, i.e., $\begin{cases} \alpha = 0, \\ \beta = 0. \end{cases}$

Indeed, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|\zeta(x, \xi)| \leq C, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Obviously,

$$F \circ \zeta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let $S = \{\zeta(x, \xi) : x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then S is a bounded subset of \mathbb{C} , i.e. $F \circ \zeta$ is a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now, suppose that (*) is true for all $F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C})$, $\zeta \in S^0$ and α, β with $|\alpha + \beta| = l$. Let α and β be multi-indices with $|\alpha + \beta| = l+1$. We first suppose that

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_{\xi_\alpha}^\beta.$$

for some γ with $|\gamma| = \ell$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By the [L-3]

Chain Rule,

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (F \circ \varsigma) = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \{ (F_1 \circ \varsigma)(\partial_\xi^\beta \varsigma) + (F_2 \circ \varsigma)(\partial_\xi^{\beta-1} \varsigma) \},$$

where F_1 and F_2 are, respectively, the first partial derivatives of F with respect to the first and second variables.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \{ (F_1 \circ \varsigma)(\partial_\xi^\beta \varsigma) \})(x, \xi)| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{p \leq \alpha \\ s \leq \beta}} \binom{\alpha}{p} \binom{\beta}{s} |(\partial_x^p \partial_\xi^s (F_1 \circ \varsigma))(x, \xi)| |(\partial_x^{\alpha-p} \partial_\xi^{\beta-s} (\partial_\xi^\beta \varsigma))(x, \xi)| \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p \leq \alpha \\ s \leq \beta}} \binom{\alpha}{p} \binom{\beta}{s} C_{ps} (1+|\xi|)^{-|\beta|} C_{\alpha p \beta s} |\partial_\xi^\beta \varsigma|^{(1+|\xi|)} \\ & = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{p \leq \alpha \\ s \leq \beta}} \binom{\alpha}{p} \binom{\beta}{s} C_{ps} C_{\alpha p \beta s} |\partial_\xi^\beta \varsigma|^{(1+|\xi|)}}_{C_{\alpha \beta \varsigma}} \xrightarrow[-(|\beta|+1)]{} x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

$C_{\alpha \beta \varsigma}$ such that

Similarly, we can find a positive constant $C'_{\alpha \beta \varsigma}$ such that

$$|(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \{ (F_2 \circ \varsigma)(\partial_\xi^\beta \varsigma) \})(x, \xi)| \leq C'_{\alpha \beta \varsigma} (1+|\xi|)^{-(|\beta|+1)},$$

$$\therefore |(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \{ (F \circ \varsigma)(x, \xi) \})| \leq (C_{\alpha \beta \varsigma} + C'_{\alpha \beta \varsigma}) (1+|\xi|)^{-(|\beta|+1)}, x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$