

Selected Exercises from the Textbook

20.3. Let f be a nonconstant holomorphic function on a domain D . Then $|f|$ can attain a local minimum only at a zero of f in D . (Minimum Modulus Principle)

Proof: Suppose that $|f|$ attains a local minimum at $z_0 \in D$. If $f(z_0) = 0$, then we are done. If $f(z_0) \neq 0$, then there exists a positive number R such that

$$|f(z_0)| \leq |f(z)|, \quad |z - z_0| < R.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{|f(z)|} \leq \frac{1}{|f(z_0)|}, \quad |z - z_0| < R.$$

$\therefore \frac{1}{|f|}$ attains a local maximum at z_0 in D . Since

$\frac{1}{f}$ is holomorphic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < R\}$. By the

Maximum Modulus Principle for Holomorphic Functions,

$\frac{1}{f}$ is constant on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < R\}$, i.e., f is

constant on ~~$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < R\}$~~ $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < R\}$. By the

unique continuation property of holomorphic functions,

f is a constant function on D . This is a contradiction.

An Application 8

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra : 20.4

Let $P(z)$ be a nonconstant polynomial of z in \mathbb{C} , i.e.,

$$P(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n,$$

where $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ are complex constants with $a_n \neq 0$. Then $P(z)$ has a zero in \mathbb{C} .

Proof : Suppose that $P(z)$ has no zeros in \mathbb{C} . Then $\frac{1}{P}$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{C} . Then by the Maximum Modulus

Principle for holomorphic functions, if $\frac{1}{|P|}$ has a local minimum at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then there exists a positive number R such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{P(z)} \right| \geq \left| \frac{1}{P(z_0)} \right|, \quad |z - z_0| < R,$$

$$\text{or} \quad |P(z)| \leq |P(z_0)|, \quad |z - z_0| < R.$$

$|P(z)|$ attains its local maximum at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

By the Maximum Modulus Principle for Holomorphic Functions on \mathbb{C} , this is a contradiction.

Exercise 20.5

Let $f: \partial D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. Is there a holomorphic function u on D such that

$$u(re^{i\theta}) \rightarrow f(e^{i\theta})$$

uniformly with respect to θ in $[0, 2\pi]$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$?

Solution

Let $\partial D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by
 $f(z) = \bar{z}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let u be a holomorphic function on D defined by

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^n e^{in\theta}, \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi.$$

Since $u(re^{i\theta}) \rightarrow f(e^{i\theta})$ uniformly with respect to θ in $[0, 2\pi]$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^n e^{in\theta} d\theta \rightarrow \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\theta} d\theta = 0$$

as $r \rightarrow 1^-$.

By uniform convergence,
 $a_0 2\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} d\theta = 0$

$$\therefore a_0 = 0.$$

$$\therefore u(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n = z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}$$

$$= z \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m+1} z^m$$

So, $a_1 = 0$, and by repeating the process,

$$a_2 = a_3 = \dots = 0$$

$$\therefore u(z) = 0,$$

and this is a contradiction.