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#### Abstract

We give necessary and sufficient conditions on the symbols to guarantee that the corresponding pseudo-differential operators are nuclear from $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ into $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ for $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$. Applications are given to adjoints of nuclear pseudo-differential operators from $L^{p_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ into $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ for $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$ and products of nuclear pseudo-differential operators on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$.
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## 1 Introduction

Nuclear operators on Banach spaces as generalizations of trace class operators can be traced at least to Grothendieck [10, 11]. First results on nuclear integral operators and pseudo-differential operators on $L^{p}$ spaces in simple settings like the unit circle centered at the origin and the discrete group of all integers, $1 \leq p<\infty$, can be found in $[2,3,6]$.

Let $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ be the unit circle centered at the origin and let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers. For every measurable function $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and every measurable function $f$ on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, we define the function $T_{\sigma} f$ on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ formally by

$$
\left(T_{\sigma} f\right)(\theta)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i n \theta} \sigma(\theta, n) \hat{f}(n), \quad \theta \in[-\pi, \pi],
$$

where $\hat{f}(n)$ is the Fourier transform of $f$ given by

$$
\hat{f}(n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i n \theta} f(\theta) d \theta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

We call $T_{\sigma}$ the pseudo-differential operator on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ with symbol $\sigma$.
Conditions on the symbols $\sigma$ to insure the boundedness, compactness and self-adjointness of the corresponding pseudo-differential operators $T_{\sigma}$ have been given in $[1,7,8,12,13,14,15,17]$. In addition, Fredholmness and nuclearity of pseudo-differential operators $T_{\sigma}$ under suitable conditions on the symbols $\sigma$ are investigated in [2,3]. These results can be extended easily from the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ to the $n$-torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ given by

$$
\mathbb{T}^{n}=\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{1}}_{n \text { times }}
$$

Extensions to compact Lie groups and to compact manifolds can be found, for instance, in, respectively, [5] and [4] In a nutshell, the results hitherto cited are on sufficient conditions on the symbols $\sigma$ to prove mapping properties of the corresponding pseudo-differential operators $T_{\sigma}$. To the best of our knowledge, very few results on necessary and sufficient conditions on the symbols $\sigma$ for the corresponding pseudo-differential operators to have the desired mapping properties exist. A notable exception is the paper [12] by Molahajloo on compact pseudo-differential operators on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$.

The aim of this paper is to present necessary and sufficient conditions on the symbols $\sigma$ for the corresponding pseudo-differential operators $T_{\sigma}$ to be nuclear from $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ into $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ for $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$. We also give necessary and sufficient conditions on the symbols $\sigma$ to guarantee that the adjoints and products of pseudo-differential operators are nuclear.

We first give in Section 2 the definition of nuclear operators on Banach spaces and the main tool $[2,3,6]$ to be used in this paper. Then we give necessary and sufficient conditions on the symbols $\sigma$ so that the corresponding pseudo-differential operators $T_{\sigma}$ are nuclear from $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ into $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ for $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$. In Section 3 are given necessary and sufficient conditions on the symbols $\sigma$ for which the adjoints of pseudo-differential operators $T_{\sigma}$ are nuclear. The nuclearity of products of nuclear operators is given in Section 4.

All results in this paper can be routinely extended from the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ to the $n$-torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. It is worth pointing out that characterizing trace class pseudo-differential operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be found in [16].

## 2 Nuclearity on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$

Let $X$ and $Y$ be complex Banach spaces and let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bouned linear operator. Suppose that we can find sequences $\left\{x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in the dual space $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $Y$ such that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{Y}<\infty
$$

and

$$
T x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}^{\prime}(x) y_{n}, \quad x \in X .
$$

Then we call $T: X \rightarrow Y$ a nuclear operator and its trace $\operatorname{tr}(T)$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{tr}(T)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) .
$$

It can be proved that the definition of a nuclear operator and the definition of the trace of a nuclear operator are independent of the choices of the sequences $\left\{x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Details can be found in [9].

For $L^{p}$ spaces, the main tool is the following result in $[2,3,6]$.
Theorem 2.1 Let $\left(X_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be $\sigma$-finite measure spaces. $A$ bounded linear operator $T: L^{p_{1}}\left(X_{1}, \mu_{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{2}}\left(X_{2}, \mu_{2}\right), 1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$, is nuclear if and only if there exist sequences $\left\{g_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\left(X_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)\right.$ and $\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{2}}\left(X_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$ such that for all $f \in L^{p_{1}}\left(X_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$,

$$
(T f)(x)=\int_{X_{1}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n}(x) g_{n}(y)\right) f(y) d \mu_{1}(y), \quad x \in X_{2},
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(X_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}\left(X_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)}}<\infty .
$$

The functin $K$ on $X_{2} \times X_{1}$ defined by

$$
K(x, y)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n}(x) g_{n}(y), \quad x \in X_{2}, y \in X_{1},
$$

is known as the kernel of the nuclear operator $T: L^{p_{1}}\left(X_{1}, \mu_{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{2}}\left(X_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$. If $X_{1}=X_{2}=X, p_{1}=p_{2}=p$ and $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu$ is a $\sigma$-finite measure, then for almost all $x \in X$,

$$
\int_{X}|K(x, y)| d \mu(y) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, \mu)}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(X, \mu)} .
$$

The trace $\operatorname{tr}(T)$ of $T: L^{p}(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^{p}(X, \mu)$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{tr}(T)=\int_{X} K(x, x) d \mu(x) .
$$

We give in the following theorem a necessary and sufficent condition on the symbol $\sigma$ to make sure that the corresponding pseudo-differential operator $T_{\sigma}$ from $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ into $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear for $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $\sigma$ be a measurable function on $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then the pseudodifferential operator $T_{\sigma}: L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear for $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$ if
and only if there exist sequences $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sigma(\theta, n)=2 \pi e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{n}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

Proof We only need to prove the necessity. Suppose that $T_{\sigma}: L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear. By Theorem 2.1, there exist sequences $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|k_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}<\infty
$$

and for all $f \in L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(T_{\sigma} f\right)(\theta) & =\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i k \theta} \sigma(\theta, k) \hat{f}(k) \\
& =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\phi)\right) f(\phi) d \phi, \quad \theta \in[-\pi, \pi] \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $e_{n}$ be the function on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ defined by

$$
e_{n}(\theta)=e^{i n \theta}, \quad \theta \in[-\pi, \pi] .
$$

Since

$$
\widehat{e_{n}}(k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i k \theta} e^{i n \theta} d \theta= \begin{cases}0, & k \neq n \\ 1, & k=n\end{cases}
$$

If we let $f=e_{n}$ in (2.1), then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{i n \theta} \sigma(\theta, n) & =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\phi)\right) e^{i n \phi} d \phi \\
& =\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i n \phi} g_{k}(\phi) d \phi \\
& =2 \pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad \theta \in[-\pi, \pi] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sigma(\theta, n)=2 \pi e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

Conversely, suppose that there exist sequences $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sigma(\theta, n)=2 \pi e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then for all $f \in L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{\sigma} f\right)(\theta) & =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n \theta} \sigma(\theta, n) \hat{f}(n) \\
& =2 \pi \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n) \hat{f}(n) \\
& =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i n \phi} g_{k}(\phi) d \phi\right) \hat{f}(n) \\
& =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n \phi} \hat{f}(n) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\phi) d \phi \\
& =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\phi)\right) f(\phi) d \phi, \quad \theta \in[-\pi, \pi] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Before we give an application of Theorem 2.2, we need another characterization of nuclear operators from $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ into $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), 1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$..

Theorem 2.3 Let $\sigma$ be a measurable function on $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then the pseudodifferential operator $T_{\sigma}: L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear if and only if there exist sequences $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n(\theta-\phi)} \sigma(\theta, n)=4 \pi^{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\phi), \quad \theta, \phi \in[-\pi, \pi] .
$$

Proof Suppose that $T_{\sigma}: L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear. Then by Theorem 2.1, there exist sequences $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}<\infty
$$

and

$$
e^{i n \theta} \sigma(\theta, n)=2 \pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

Thus, for all $\theta$ and $\phi$ in $[-\pi, \pi]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n(\theta-\phi)} \sigma(\theta, n) & =2 \pi \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i n \phi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n) \\
& =2 \pi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n(\omega-\phi)} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\omega) d \omega \\
& =4 \pi^{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \delta(\phi-\omega)\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\omega)\right) d \omega \\
& =4 \pi^{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\phi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The converse is clear from Theorem 2.1.
An immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3 is the following result.
Theorem 2.4 Let $T_{\sigma}: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ be a nuclear operator, where $1 \leq$ $p<\infty$. Then

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(\theta, n) d \theta
$$

Proof By 2.1 andTheorem 2.3,

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) g_{k}(\theta) d \theta=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(\theta, n) d \theta
$$

## 3 Adjoints

Let $\sigma$ be a measurable function on $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that the pseudo-differential operator $T_{\sigma}: L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear. Then there exist sequences
$\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\left(T_{\sigma} f\right)(\theta)=2 \pi e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad \theta \in[-\pi, \pi] .
$$

The following theorem tells us that the adjoint $T_{\sigma}: L^{p_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear and its symbol $\sigma^{*}$ can also be expressed explicitly.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\sigma$ be a measurable function on $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that $T_{\sigma}$ : $L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear. Let $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be sequences in, respectively, $L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sigma(\theta, n)=2 \pi e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then $T_{\sigma}^{*}: L^{p_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is nuclear and the symbol $\sigma^{*}$ of $T_{\sigma}^{*}$ is given by

$$
\sigma^{*}(\theta, n)=2 \pi e^{i n \theta} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{g_{m}}(\theta) \widehat{\widehat{h_{m}}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

Proof For all functions $u \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define $(u, v)$ by

$$
(u, v)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(\theta) \overline{v(\theta)} d \theta
$$

Now, for all $f \in L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $g \in L^{p_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$,

$$
\left(T_{\sigma} f, g\right)=\left(f, T_{\sigma^{*}} g\right)
$$

So,

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m \theta} \sigma(\theta, m) \hat{f}(m) \overline{g(\theta)} d \theta=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{e^{i m \theta} \sigma^{*}(\theta, m) \hat{g}(m)} d \theta
$$

Now, let $f(\theta)=e^{i n \theta}$ and $g(\theta)=e^{i k \theta}$ for all $\theta \in[-\pi, \pi]$, where $n$ and $k$ are integers. Then

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i(k-n) \theta} \sigma(\theta, n) d \theta=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i(k-n) \theta} \overline{\sigma^{*}(\theta, k)} d \theta .
$$

Thus,

$$
\overline{\hat{\sigma}(k-n, n)}=\widehat{\sigma^{*}}(n-k, k), \quad n, k \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{*}(\theta, n) & =\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(k-n) \theta} \widehat{\sigma^{*}}(k-n, n) \\
& =\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(k-n) \theta} \overline{\hat{\sigma}(n-k, k)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(k-n) \theta} \overline{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i(n-k) \phi} \sigma(\phi, k) d \phi} \\
& =\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(k-n) \theta} \overline{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i k \phi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{m}(\phi) \widehat{g_{m}}(-k) d \phi} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} e^{-i n \theta} \overline{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i n \phi}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{m}(\phi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i k(\omega-\theta)} g_{m}(\omega) d \omega d \phi \\
& =e^{-i n \theta} \overline{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i n \phi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{m}(\phi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \delta(\theta-\omega) g_{m}(\omega) d \omega d \phi} \\
& =e^{-i n \theta} \overline{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i n \phi} \sum_{m=\infty}^{\infty} h_{m}(\phi) g_{m}(\theta) d \phi} \\
& =2 \pi e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{g_{m}}(\theta) \widehat{h_{m}}(-n)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}$. This completes the proof.

## 4 Products

The following theorem tells us in particular that the product of two nuclear operators from $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$, is nuclear.

Theorem 4.1 For $1 \leq p<\infty$, let $T_{\sigma}: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ be a nuclear operator and let $T_{\tau}: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ be a bounded linear operator. Then the pseudo-differential operator $T_{\tau} T_{\sigma}: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is a nulcear operator. Moreover, the symbol $\lambda$ of $T_{\tau} T_{\sigma}$ is given by

$$
\lambda(\theta, n)=4 \pi^{2} e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} u_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z},
$$

where

$$
u_{k}(\theta)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m \theta} \tau(\theta, m) \widehat{h_{k}}(m)=\left(T_{\tau} h_{k}\right)(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-\pi \cdot \pi] .
$$

Proof Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$. Then for all $\theta \in[-\pi . \pi]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{\tau} T_{\sigma} f\right)(\theta) \\
= & \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m \theta} \tau(\theta, m)\left(T_{\sigma} f\right)^{\wedge}(m) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m \theta} \tau(\theta, m) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i m \phi}\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n \phi} \sigma(\phi, n) \hat{f}(n)\right) d \phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{\sigma}$ is nuclear, there exist sequences $\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sigma(\theta, n)=2 \pi e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{\tau} T_{\sigma} f\right)(\theta) \\
= & 2 \pi \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m \theta} \tau(\theta, m) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i m \phi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{k}(\phi) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n) \hat{f}(n) d \phi \\
= & (4 \pi)^{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n \theta}\left[e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m \theta} \tau(\theta, m) \widehat{h_{k}}(m) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n)\right] \hat{f}(n) d \phi \\
= & \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n \theta} \lambda(\theta, n) \hat{f}(n), \quad \theta \in[-\pi, \pi],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\theta, n) & =4 \pi^{2} e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m \theta} \tau(\theta, m) \widehat{h_{k}}(m) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n) \\
& =4 \pi^{2} e^{-i n \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} u_{k}(\theta) \widehat{g_{k}}(-n), \quad(\theta, n) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
u_{k}(\theta)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m \theta} \tau(\theta, m) \widehat{h_{k}}(m), \quad \theta \in[-\pi, \pi] .
$$

Since $T_{\tau}: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow T_{\tau}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is a bounded linear operator, it follows that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}=\left\|T_{\tau} u_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)} \leq C\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Acknowledgment The authors are grateful to the referee for the comments on the first version of the paper.
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